Vol. XXVII, pp. 215-220

October 31, 1914

PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

GENERAL NOTES.

THE SYSTEMATIC NAME OF THE BRAZILIAN CRAB-EATING RACCOON.

The name Procyon cancrivorus brasiliensis Von Ihering (Revista do Musen Paulista, Vol. 8, p. 228, 1911) applied to the crab-eating raccoon of Brazil, is antedated by P[rocyon] nigripes Mivart (Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1885, p. 347). The latter name has been generally overlooked. It is based on the black-footed crab-eating raccoon of Sclater (Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1875, p. 421) from "Brazil down to Paraguay." It is clear from Von Ihering's account that his name refers to the same subspecies, which should, therefore, be known as Procyon cancrivorus nigripes Mivart.

—N. Hollister.

THE GENERIC NAME OF THE COLLARED PECCARIES.

When selecting* the species labiatus as type of the genus Dicotyles I overlooked the fact that the species torquatus had already been formally chosen.† On account of this earlier selection the collared peccaries must take the generic name Dicotyles Cuvier, 1817, with Pecari Reichenbach, 1835, as a synonym. The name Tayassu Fischer, 1814, with Notophorus Fischer, 1817, and Olidosus Merriam, 1901, as synonyms, will remain in use for the white-lipped group.

—Gerrit S. Miller, Jr.

THE TECHNICAL NAMES OF THE COMMON SKUNK AND MINK OF THE EASTERN STATES.

The name Viverra nigra Peale and Beauvois, 1796 (Scientific and Descriptive Catalogue of Peale's Museum, p. 37), type locality Maryland, antedates Mephitis putida Boitard, 1842, for the common skunk of the eastern United States. In a like manner Mustela mink Peale and Beauvois (1. c., p. 39), type locality Maryland, has precedence over Mustela lutreocephala Harlan, 1825, for the common mink of the middle and eastern States. These two forms‡ should be called Mephitis nigra and Mustela vison mink.

—N. Hollister.

^{*}List of North American Land Mammals in the United States National Museum, 1911, p. 384. December 31, 1912.

[†]Palmer, Index Gen. Mamm., p. 930. January 23, 1904.

[‡] See Howell, North Amer. Fauna, No. 20, p. 25, 1901; and Hollister, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., Vol. 44, p. 473, 1913.